

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/608,120	06/30/2003	Jonathan S. Stamler	2171 C	1034
7590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER		
ERIC S. SPECTOR			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J	
BACON & THO	OMAS, PLLC			
625 SLATERS LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTH FLOOR			1614	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DATE MAIL ED. 04/09/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,120	STAMLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond J Henley III	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of tho dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.17 and 18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner					
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the pr	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) □ Intendes	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/2003.</li> </ol>	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,120

Art Unit: 1614

## CLAIMS 1, 17 AND 18 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicants' Preliminary Amendment and Information Disclosure Statement filed June 30, 2003 have been received and entered into the application. Accordingly, claims 2-16 have been canceled; claims 17 and 18 have been added; and the paragraph at page 1 of the specification under the heading "Cross-Reference to Related Applications" has been amended. Also, as reflected by the attached, completed copy of form PTO-1449 (1 page), the cited references have been considered.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

At page 1 of the specification, line 1 of the above amendment thereto, after "2003," --- now U.S. Patent No. 6,627,602--- needs to be inserted.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating a disease or pathologic condition associated with G-protein receptor kinase activity, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating a subject having such a disease or pathologic condition, i.e., having no therapeutic objective for treating the patient having the bone disorder. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/608,120

Art Unit: 1614

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The therapeutic objective that is set forth in claim 1 modifies the intended host rather than the therapeutic objective of "treating". Such reads on a panacea, i.e., treating the patient for any and all purposes, and the art currently is unaware of any single agent, or combination of agents that could be used for the treatment of any and all disease states which is encompassed by the present claims.

In order to overcome this rejection, applicant may wish to consider amending claim 1 to read, in part, --- A method for treating a disease or pathologic condition associated with G-protein receptor kinase activity in a patient...---

### Double Patenting

### **Statutory**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,627,602. This is a double patenting rejection.

#### Obviousness-type

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number: 10/608,120

Art Unit: 1614

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,602. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the specific disease states of present claims 17 and 18 would be encompassed by the patented "a disease or pathologic condition associated with G-protein receptor kinase activity".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J Henley III whose telephone number is 571-272-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 4:00 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on 571-272-0584. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1614

٠,

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond J Henry III
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

June 1, 2004